

From: steven_pedigo
To: davidfakouri@gmail.com
Cc: [Kevin Barteir](mailto:Kevin.Barteir); mark.cooper@la.gov; mayerco@bellsouth.net; mdhill@abc26.com; scaparotta@wafb.com; steven_gray@timemagazine.com; Sam.Coleman/R6/USEPA/US@EPA; james.a.watson@uscg.mil; james.e.hanzelik@uscg.mil; kerry@btnep.org; paul.rainwater@la.gov; murrille@gov.state.la.us; johnlopez@pobox.com; nat.thomas@mail.house.gov
Subject: RE: US EPA letter attached, highlighted section VI, READ!
Date: 05/20/2010 11:09 AM

Dear David Fakouri all ect,

We have just heard that BP is going to try a product from Pasadena, that is a bacterial product. IT HAS NO CHANCE TO WORK! You clean up toxic spills to reduce the toxicity to the environment, so living organisms can live. If the environment contains oil, and oil and dispersant mixture it will be too toxic for any living organisms to survive without first reducing the toxicity of the spill and or dispersant. So it makes no sense to apply a living organism to a spill, IT WILL JUST DIE! So it appears BP has chosen a product in which will not work to show they tried something else and it just did not work. The second problem with the bacteria is it is NON INDIGENOUS which means it is foreign to Louisiana waters, which means it will upset the natural ecology of the waters of the GULF. In most foreign countries it is against there laws to introduce foreign bacteria into the environment. The same reason you cannot take fruit into California, or when you travel overseas, you cannot bring plants, or foreign food products into the US, or vice versa.

Once again this seems like an end run NOT TO USE The most experienced product that does not introduce foreign bacteria into the Louisiana environment, and has a means to reduce the toxicity of the spill so enhanced INDIGENOUS bacteria can be utilized to use the detoxified oil as a food source.

Surely the decision makers are more capable than this decision to use a live non indigenous bacteria. But then these are the same people who have spent 350,000,000.00\$ to 500,000,000.00\$ on a spill response that has not cleaned up hardly any of the spill. They just sank the oil and now it is coming ashore, therefore the same oil will get addressed a second time.

The state needs to protect itself by stating they do not want foreign bacterial products in the waters, especially when you know the outcome of using live cultures on toxic oil; instant death. Read emulating mother nature in our technical manuel at www.osei.us and read our comparison to bacterial products on pages 201-202, and you will see why OSE II is the better choice. Also read the EPA fact sheet in our technical package on page 191-198 bacteria tests they performed. It states bacterial products do not do well in the environment, so how can an RRT EPA member allow the use of something his own agency has stated will not do well?

All the information I am relaying has been in the public domain for years. IS there anyone with basic, chemistry and biology and some experience in spill clean ups, in the decision making LOOP. This is a travesty as to how to handle a spill, while everyone is still not utilizing the most experienced, COMPLETE product that actually works, which was demonstrated on Louisiana waters live and filmed by ABC news. You can still see the video at www.osei.us and click on videos especially the first one, since there has been more than one demonstration including the one behind the Louisiana State capital for several State Senators, and staff with DEQ.

Once again why would BP not use the product that has been around the longest, has a complete clean up product with a substantiated end of of CO23 and water by the EPA test on the NCP.

When does reality get utilized?

From: dfakouri@laeconomicfoundation.com
To: coleman.sam@epa.gov; james.a.watson@uscg.mil; james.e.hanzelik@uscg.mil; matthew.j.funderburk@uscg.mil; johnlopez@pobox.com; msdavis@tulane.edu; piers.chapman@tamu.ude; Nat.Thomas@mail.house.gov; Thomas_Michels@landrieu.senate.gov; david.doss@vitter.senate.gov; kyle_ruckert@vitter.senate.gov; ken.laizar@mms.gov; walter.cruickshank@mms.gov; spencer@repcollier.com; psw@adem.state.al; jwcollier@hotmail.com; mdean@mobile-county.net; radair@mcema.net; lmj1944@cox.net; gstacy@copper.net; dmallory@louisiana.edu; agc@agcrowe.com; kevin.cousins@la.gov; bnungesser@plaqueminesparish.com; ddysart@sbpg.net; daccoardo@stpgov.org; dbonano@jeffparish.net; kerry@btnep.org; Paul.Rainwater@LA.GOV; murrille@GOV.STATE.LA.US
CC: stevenosei@msn.com; kevin@ind-tek.us; mark.cooper@la.gov; mayerco@bellsouth.net
Subject: US EPA letter attached, highlighted section VI, READ!
Date: Thu, 20 May 2010 09:49:33 -0500



9550953

Attached is a **United States Environmental Protection Letter**, that recognizes that **OIL SPILL EATER II** is on the **NCP** list so it has been approved ready for use if requested.

Now Read Section VI of the same EPA letter. As this relates to our coastal marsh crises.

Louisiana Marshes, ecosystem, and marsh grass which is our hurricane protection asset that cannot be lost regardless of BP or the Coast Guard or the RRT.

It has been 17 days of delays and no decisions except to ignore the obvious solution. GET OFF STUPID, to borrow a quote from Gen. Horore and act.

Reading section VI, insert in the application process the utilization of an air boat. (once sprayed the oil stays on the waters surface inert, non toxic, no adhesion properties, breaks down quickly to co2 and water. does not sink will remain on the surface till dissolved.

DO NOT BELIEVE ME, READ THE EPA LETTER. NOW ACT !

OIL SPILL EATER II IS THE UNIQUE PRODUCT THAT CAN SAVE OUR COAST, but only if requested and used.....

Those in authority, get off stupid and trust the EPA, and make a decision.

Failure to do so only means that no one cares to save the coast and the marshes.

I am en route to Plaquemines Parishes and St Bernard with Product to demonstrate to each parish president.

Please advise me if this is a problem timely.

It has been 17 days since I delivered this to Senator Ag Crowe and the State of Louisiana.

David Fakouri, President/founder-director
Strategic Consulting Group, LLC (consultant to OSEI)
La Economic Foundation, Inc

David Fa-Kouri

Fa-Kouri & Associates

BCCI, FCCI, FMC, GIAOO, LEF, SCG
16956b S Harrell's Ferry Rd
Baton Rouge, La 70816
Cell 225 921 9735 800 352 5991 Fax 225 927 6523
Office 225 927 6515 800 281 6441

EMAIL: dfakouri@scgllc.org
dfakouri@fakourimortgage.com
dfakouri@louisianacollections.com
dfakouri@laeconomicfoundation.com

This email is not for distribution, publication, or to be used without the expressed consent of David Fakouri inclusive of attachments: Copyright David C. Fa-Kouri, 2009. All rights reserved.